Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/579,168	MULLIGAN ET	ΓAL.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Brad Duffy	1643	
All Participants:	Status of Application	n:	
(1) <u>Brad Duffy</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Daniel A. Monaco</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview:	Time:	•	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	oplicant's representative)	·.	
Part I.			•
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: all pending			
Prior art documents discussed:			·
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE See Continuation Sheet	ENERAL NATURE OF WHA	r was discussed	:
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum 	The examiner will provide a ate record of the substance	a written summary of of the interview, sinc	the substance
			•
			•
	•		
			·
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appli	icant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if ap	propriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted Applicant's representative, Daniel Monaco with a proposed Examiner's Amendment that had been discussed with Primary Examiner Stephen Rawlings. Applicant requested a restriction requirement be made of record before agreeing to the amendment and the Examiners determined that in order to clarify the record a written restriction requirement would be mailed. The Examiners will determine the appropriateness of the proposed Examiner's Amendment after a response to the attached restriction requirement has been received.